

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,625	05/03/2001	Paul M. Henry	50019.51US01/P04881	50019.51US01/P04881 7855	
23552 7	590 04/07/2003				
MERCHANT	`& GOULD PC		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			ENGLUND, TERRY LEE		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903		ENGEONE, I	EKKT ELL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		au-			
lo.	Applicant(s)				
	HENRY ET AL.				
	Art Unit				
nd	2816				
er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
nent of this applica amendment which	ON FOR ALLOWA ation. A proper reply n places the applica y filed Request for 0	y to a tion in			
ther a) or b)]					
tion.					
NTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on.			
e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ne corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if					
filed within the pe avoid dismissal of					
n and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
or appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
nding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
circuit" need to be considered.					
f submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
on has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the			
irected SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
ot be entered or b) d is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an			

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/848,625	HENRY ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Terry L Englund	2816	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov

THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonm final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal for symptomic (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: "circuit is powered", "powers the circuit", and "power the circuit" need to be considered.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-16</u> .
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7,9,13 and 20</u> .
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,10-12, and 17-19</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office